

Appl. No. 10/629232
Amtd Dated Sep. 28, 2005
Reply to Office Action June 28, 2005

REMARKS

Restriction

Applicant affirms the election made without traverse to prosecute the invention of species I of the claimed invention, claims 6 and 16. Claims 1-5, 7, and 9-15 are generic claims, as indicated by Examiner. Claim 8 is withdrawn from consideration.

Since all of the three inventors have endeavor to the elected invention, there is no need to change the inventorship.

Rejection to Specification

Applicant has amended Abstract of the disclosure according to the Examiner's requirement to include element numbers in parenthesis through the Abstract. Thus, the rejection to Specification is solicited to be removed.

Rejection under 35 USC 103

Claims 1-7, 9, 11-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bright (US PN 5833472) in view of Katsui (US PN 6487079 B2). Claims 10 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bright (US PN 5833472) in view of Katsui (US PN 6487079 B2) and further in view of Perugini (UN PN 5396402).

In response to the rejection, Applicant has amended independent claims 1, 12 and 16 to add limitations thereto. The added limitations include that the retention module defines an opening therein, and the heat sink has a base which is received in the opening. Such added limitations can find support

Appl. No. 10/629232
Amtd Dated Sep. 28 , 2005
Reply to Office Action June 28, 2005

from Fig. 2 and lines 3-6, paragraph [0017] of the specification of the present application. Thus, no new matter issue is raised by the claim amendment.

Different from the added limitations of the amended claims of the present application, the electronic package holder (30) of the cited Bright does not define an opening receiving a base of the heat sink (50) therein; accordingly, the alleged combination of the cited Bright and Katsui cannot obtain the claimed subject matter of amended claims 1 and 12; thus, amended claims 1 and 12 are patentable over the cited Bright and Katsui. Claims 2-7, 9-11 and 13-15 are also patentable since each of them includes the patentable features of the respective independent claim.

By the similar reasons, claim 16 is not taught by the alleged combination of the cited Bright, Katsui and Perugini; thus, claim 16 is patentable over the references in record.

In view of the foregoing, the subject application as claimed in the pending claims is in a condition for allowance and an action to such effect is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Lee et al.

By _____
Wei Te Chung

Appl. No. 10/629232
Amdt Dated Sep. 28 , 2005
Reply to Office Action June 28, 2005

Registration No.: 43,325

Foxconn International, Inc.

P. O. Address: 1650 Memorex Drive, Santa Clara, CA 95050

Tel No.: (408) 919-6137